Consultee	Comment Summary	LCC Response	Recommendation
WLDC	The plan is very aspirational and covers key themes	Noted	No change
	Reliance on the local transport boards for delivery could be considered reasonable, however there are to date no published terms of reference for these Boards nor transparency beyond limited member involvement. Equally the boards only cover a small geographical area and do not cover the rural areas, of which there are many across Lincolnshire. The question would therefore be, if there is greater reliance on these Boards for delivery, how will the decision process be administered, including accountability, and transparency and also how do projects which relate primarily or wholly to rural areas gain traction?	This is an issue around governance and delivery of the Local Transport Boards rather than a specific LTP issue. However, longer term new LTP guidance is expected and emphasis on local engagement is likely to be key to the production of revisions to future LTPs.	Consideration or review of the roles and responsibilities of the Local Transport Boards and the need to develop a more delivery focused emphasis. Creation of Terms of Reference and Membership requirements. Consideration is given to the creation of Wider Reference Groups for the area Transport Boards to enable active engagement with local interest groups.
	Many of the actions are attributed to the LPA and whilst it is acknowledged this shouldn't be in isolation, it is not clear how these themes feed into/clearly align with planning policy. The importance of understanding how this works in practice is twofold, any additional requirements in development must be required through planning policy and where that occurs, there must be an assessment in terms of cost. It is not appropriate to continue to load requirements on to developers which may ultimately impact on the deliverability of development. Understand the relationship and expectations is key to	It is not the intention to load additional requirement onto development but to ensure that where evidenced adequate investment is made in transport provision. Ensuring clear linkages between LTP and Development Plans is critical to this particularly for future delivery of both development and supporting transport investment.	Review of language around LPAs.

Appendix C LTP Substantive Comments and Recommendations

	ensure meaningful delivery		
	going forward.	NL-L-J	and the solution of
	Concern that LTP5 fails to	Noted	Include additional
	recognise that RAF Scampton		text identifying RAF
	will become of strategic		Scampton as a
	importance as the site is		strategic
	decommissioned. The		development site.
	entrance to the base is		
	accessed via the A15 which is		
	identified as one of the Route		
	Action Plans for the County,		
	rightly so, but the		
	interdependcy between this		
	and the changing status of RAF		
	Scampton and this designation		
<u> </u>	is missing	Notod	
	The shift of focus from just	Noted	Additional text is
	connectivity within		added to highlight the
	Lincolnshire to recognising the		importance of
	importance of Gateways and		gateways including
	connectivity to other economic		access points by road
	centres beyond the		as well as rail. Policy
	administrative boundary is		can be strengthened
	heartily welcomed and does		in this context.
	begin to provide support for		
	key projects. However, the		
	gateways principle is still very		
	light on enough detail to		
	understand how this will be		
	facilitated through partnership		
	working and how potential		
	projects will be supported and		
	scoped. Moreover, the focus		
	•		
	of gateways is in relation to rail		
	and ports, in order for this		
	concept to deliver the		
	expected economic benefits all		
	key gateways into the County		
	should be included.		
	Digital connectivity	Objective 1d does	Additional text to
	Understand that the strategy	cover this issue but it	improve references
	relates specifically to transport,	could be	to digital connectivity
	however the interrelationship	strengthened.	
	between access, inclusivity and	-	
	rural communities is extremely		
	important and as such there is		
	a need to recognise this		
	interdependcy and explore		
	more innovative opportunities		
	to facilitate 'access' to services		
	in the context of transport and		

	digital connectivity. In a post		
	covid world these themes are		
	not mutually exclusive.		
	Welcome the emphasis on active travel, recognising the health and wellbeing strand that runs through key objectives, including ensuring access to health facilities.	Noted	No change
	Limited reference to car parking although there is a strand in relation to supporting the local economy.	Consider this an issue to be picked up under the Area Transport Strategies as a county wide policy on car parking sits outside of our remit.	To pick up issue under local transport boards.
	Welcome references to climate change and acknowledge that many solutions are urban based and fail to recognise the difficulties facing rural communities.	Agree with the sentiment in this comment and LTP attempts to articulate the difficulties faced in a geographically diverse and mainly rural area.	No change
NKDC	The authority supports the priorities and ideas set out in the document, but notes the difficulty and challenges of delivering them	Noted	No change
	Achieving thriving and sustainable communities is an important outcome, but the challenge of dispersed and smaller communities delivering a range of services to reduce the need for movement is a significant one if populations are not sufficient to sustain schooling, shops etc and as such the need for transportation options will remain.	Noted	No change
	Considering the future ready green transportation priority, whilst understanding the importance of freight movement for economic vitality of the area it is suggested that the overall	It is acknowledged that even if significant volumes of freight can be shifted to rail, road haulage will still be the dominant mode.	No change

volume of movement should be considered within the context of whether improving rail connectivity is a real alternative to increasing the number of freight movements on the existing road network. Would like to see more action on EV	The freight strategy recognises this and a balanced approach to supporting freight movement is proposed. EV strategy sets out an action plan to deliver EV infrastructure. There are references	No change, ongoing work on identifying pilot areas for on street charging Additional paragraph
focussed on the coastal resorts and doesn't significantly recognise the heritage and historic tourism offer	to tourism in general.	to reference tourism offer beyond coastal resorts.
It would assist in future planning if there was further expansion and greater clarification of the function of transport interchanges and the anticipated size /form etc of this provision	The LTP cannot identify specific locations at this time in part because of the potential blight it might create but mainly due to the need for significant work to identify locations and scale of interchanges,	No change, work is ongoing on identifying possible locations for interchanges.
It is assumed the reference to district councils /local planning authorities in the implementation plan are deliberate reflecting where a specific planning issue /collaboration with planning is required rather than the wider district council.	In part although delivery of the broader concepts in the active travel areas may require support from the leisure sectors.	No change
The draft document implementation plan will need some editing as column headings do not always reflect content.	Noted	Amendments will be made to reflect the errors identified
Overall, this is a comprehensive document with an extensive range of evidence and supporting strategies behind its development. As such it is noted that there will be significant challenges going forward to deliver the extent of aims within the collective	Noted	No change

	documents. As such the		
	continued need to work in		
	partnership and to lobby		
	effectively for external		
	resourcing is paramount to		
	success.		
Lincoln City	Endorses the overall approach	Noted	No change
Council	within the LTP and with		
	particular emphasis towards		
	the promotion and		
	development of sustainable		
	modes of transport and the		
	need for close partnership		
	working to deliver an		
	integrated transport network		
	which is vital for an urban area		
	such as Lincoln.		
	The Council would be	Noted, the EV	No change
	interested in taking part in any	strategy will require a	
	future EV charging pilot	range of partners to	
	schemes to explore how the	be effectively	
	barriers to on-street EV	delivered moving	
	charging could be overcome.	forward.	
	The roll-out and uptake of		
	electric buses and taxis needs		
	encouragement and support.		
	While the rationale for having	The creation of the	No change
	separate documents and	Local Area Transport	No change
	strategies within the LTP is	Boards provides for	
	understood from a practical	this requirement and	
	perspective, in areas like	shows our strong	
	Lincoln it is critically important	support for the	
	to have fully integrated		
		integrated approach identified. The need	
	transport systems in place e.g.	for individual modal	
	bus/cycle/rail/walking to ensure maximum benefits can		
		strategies is an	
	be achieved.	attempt to highlight	
		and demonstrate the	
		specific requirements	
		for each mode and	
		provide suggested	
		approaches to be	
		adopted within the	
	Improvoments to increase the	Local Area Strategies	
	Improvements to increase the	As highlighted above	
	uptake of cycling should be	the Cycling strategy	
	encouraged and investment	provides policy and	
	made to make cycling a safer	approaches in	
	and attractive alternative e.g.	support of exactly	
	need to provide secure cycle	that.	
	parking and cycle paths/routes.		

	The relationship between the implementation of the measures outlined in the LTP and the role the Local Transport Boards play in delivery of those measures needs careful consideration and integration	This is an issue around governance and delivery of the Local Transport Boards rather than a specific LTP issue. However, longer term new LTP guidance is expected and emphasis on local engagement is likely to be key to the production of revisions to future LTPs.	Consideration or review of the roles and responsibilities of the Local Transport Boards and the need to develop a more delivery focused emphasis. Creation of Terms of Reference and Membership requirements. Consideration is given to the creation of Wider Reference Groups for the area Transport Boards to enable active engagement with local interest groups.
S&ELP (BBC, ELDC & SHDC)	Support the framework defined within themes that outline how LCC will respond to the social, economic, health and environmental, challenges LTP5 response for South & East Lincolnshire Partnership that Lincolnshire faces. However, to be effective LTP5, needs to more closely connect to and reference the key subregional projects within these themes	Noted	No change
	these themes. The Introduction section is overly verbose in describing the contents of each chapter/section, and to keep an external audience engaged it could benefit from highlighting key content to come. It is therefore vital that within the introduction chapter, LCC seek to instil early confidence that LTP5 and all other LCC statutory documents are aligned with the local	The LTP has been produced in line with current DfT guidance and the 6 page introduction (which includes 2 pages of maps) is not considered to be overly long. Chapter 4 sets out the basis of the Integrated Transport Strategy and identifies the clear	Revisions to introduction with some elements of chapter 4 being pulled forward

[
development, transport, and economic plans of its district authorities, whilst being clear about the headline LCC and district authority total budget requirements committed to deliver key infrastructure projects.	linkages and alignment across the suite of strategic documentation	
A key omission in the introduction of the draft LTP5 is that the document does not define the A17 as a 'major road' within a Lincolnshire context. The SELCP partners feel that this omission needs to be addressed, given the vital importance of the A17 in a local, regional and national context.	The A17 is shown on the Strategic Highway Routes on the map in the introduction. There are several references in LTP 5 to improvements on the A17 to support economic growth and in particular the food valley. The freight strategy also identifies the A17 as a key corridor for investment.	No change
Request addition of top line financial numbers defining investments required, LCC and subregional available funds and the strategy to meet any shortfalls	At the time of writing LTP 5 the ability to identify scheme costs and potential budgets has not been completed. There is ongoing work to identify and fill some of these gaps and this will be fed into a revised LTP 5 implementation Plan when available.	Ongoing scheme development and costing work will help identify some of this missing information.
Request a reference in the early text to, and create an appendix section where subregional authorities can supply spreadsheet tables defining their key costed projects set against actual short-, medium- and longterm timelines	In addition to costings there would need to be identified evidence of justification and need, how schemes would support the key LTP objectives and deliverability. Have schemes a SOBC developed?	Development of the forthcoming implementation should address this issue.

Γ	"wish list" of	
	schemes lacking	
	strategic evidence.	
Incort within the chapter how	LTP 5 has been	Implementation will
Insert within the chapter how		Implementation will be updated moving
LCC plans to physically	developed in line	forward.
deliver projects with its private	with DfT guidance.	forward.
sector partners. Give examples	The Implementation	
of past	Plan has been	
successes delivered on time	developed up to a	
and budget timelines, current	current level of detail	
procurement	in line with existing	
processes etc.	information and	
	understanding. The role of the LTP is to	
	provide a strategic	
	framework within	
	which schemes can be identified and	
	then delivered. At	
	this time, it is for individual bids to	
	identify the	
	likelihood of	
In chapter 2 a series of	successful delivery. Content to include	Develop and include
appropriate themed diagrams		additional diagrams
within a Transport Plan should	additional diagrams covering deprivation	and text.
come together as an overlay in	and other areas	
a final key diagram to both	requested but would	
identify and justify where	be better placed in	
priority projects within a	Chapter 3.	
region are needed. SELCP are	Chapter 5.	
concerned that this final co-		
ordinated diagram and the text		
that should accompany it is missing from this section		
missing from this section. Ensure datasets being used to	The evidence base	No change
determine the future of	gathered and utilised	
transport infrastructure in LCC	is considered both	
is varied and goes beyond	consistent and	
timelines of recent covid	varied. Much of the	
impacts	evidence has been	
	gathered from GLLEP	
	work, existing	
	development plans	
	and LCCs own	
	historic data. Whilst	
	COVID impacts are	
	yet to be fully	
	understood it would	
	seem inappropriate	

l .		
	to ignore the existing impact on the transport system. It is also inappropriate to assume that travel and traffic will return to pre COVID conditions. We consider the balance of both short term and historic evidence to be balanced and appropriate.	
Where SUE's are being proposed introduce a funding mechanism built into the planning consents to develop and support sustainable access for a defined area of rural hinterland adjacent to that development.	Section 106 funding is already available so not clear what in addition this comment is seeking	Clarify with consultee but this doesn't fall under LTP remit.
Engage to commence work now on the long-term infrastructure projects that SELCP and other districts/councils have in mind, and to support enabling funding applications as required to agencies like Homes England to support feasibility and scheme development work.	Agreed, work of this nature is being developed as part of Local Transport Strategies	No change.
Ensure that all street/place- based schemes going forward have a significant degree of urban greening. Include a requirement for a SUDs programme to introduced which on existing or as part of new schemes requires as a minimum, permeable paving materials to use in pedestrian areas.	Agreed	Include relevant section in policy wording.
Create a standard template for the modal implementation tables and revise all tables to match. Avoid using the term policy for proposed aims, but where relevant refer to the policies set out in the previous chapter.	Agreed	Rework of tables to improve consistency.

	Boston should be promoted in the LTP5 as a location for an E-Bus trial	The technical work identifies Boston as a leading contender for the development of Ebus technology. This is reflected in a number of places throughout the suite of LTP documents. Additional development work is now required to develop SOBC for the project.	No change required in LTP.
SKDC	South Kesteven District Council welcomes the continued support of the Grantham and Stamford Local Transport Boards, and the associated policy HA3 (pages 6,7 & 8). Whilst we note that maps are shown for the boards which have developed full strategies, maps of the other boards such as Grantham and Stamford would be useful.	Noted	Once area transport boards are fully developed maps can be added to later iterations
	A list of 'major roads' is included on page 11. It is considered that the A17, linking Lincolnshire to Norfolk, should also be listed. We welcome the Electric	Agreed Noted and agreed.	Added to list
	Vehicle and Alternative Fuel Strategy and we note it contains further detail and analysis on electric vehicles and alternative fuels. Provision on a local scale and future proofing should be considered.	EV strategy includes references to future proofing.	
	We note the inclusion of the Local Plan SUEs around Grantham and Stamford and welcome that the SUEs are considered 'opportunities' (page 57). Please note that Spitalgate Heath, to the south of Grantham, now has official Garden Village status.	Noted.	No change

The Local Transport Strategy, which is designed to support Local Plans, does not refer to the towns of Bourne and Market Deeping. The Local Plan directs growth towards South Kesteven's most sustainable locations, including Bourne and Market Deeping. The Local Transport Plan should acknowledge the smaller towns which are set to see housing and	The development of local transport boards would be considered a suitable way forward as these smaller towns develop and a lack of specific reference at this point does not preclude LTP support. The role of the modal strategies is designed to take account of all	
employment growth over the plan period. Page 68 of the Local	communities across the county. Noted	No change
Transport Strategy identifies place making as a key theme. To ensure robustness, place making could be included as a policy within the Local Transport Strategy. Manual for Streets details place making (and the forthcoming Manual for Streets 3). Theme 6 of the Local Transport Plan is well placed to cover place making more comprehensively.		
Pedestrians and cyclists should be prioritised in residential development, emphasising strong placemaking and low movement. This could include segregated cycle routes (from vehicles and pedestrians) within and then also beyond new development schemes. The recent changes to the Highway Code should be referenced, particularly in respect of the strengthened road use hierarchy.	Both the cycling and walking strategies reference segregation of facilities. Following both national law and guidance is taken as read and not felt necessary to reiterate within the LTP.	No change
Wording in Objective 2c – Policy GREEN 4 should be strengthened in terms of development in unsustainable locations. Bus services, including frequency,	It is considered that the policy and supporting text adequately covers this issue.	Continue to work with DCs on integration between LTP and Local Plans.

[
	and Public Transport		
	Accessibility Level (PTAL)		
	assessments could be used		
	when assessing development		
	sites. Walking distances to		
	key services and facilities		
	could also be considered.		
	Objective 4d could be	Noted, Policy GREEN	No change
	amended accordingly to take	4 and its supporting	No change
	into account Active Travel	text deals with this	
		issue.	
	England and its aims and	15502.	
	vision. Sustainable Travel		
	Plans to encourage walking,		
	cycling or use of public		
	transport to get to work		
	should be encouraged.		
	We welcome future	Noted	No change
	engagement with strategies		
	such as the Local Walking &		
	Cycling Infrastructure Plan		
	and relevant town centre		
	transport strategies to enable		
	the securement of additional		
	funding via S106.		
Transport for	Support for overall approach	Noted	No chango
Transport for East Midlands	Support for overall approach	Noteu	No change
	adopted in the plan	Natad	No. shawee
	The priorities identified for	Noted	No change
	Lincolnshire in the draft LTP		
	appear consistent with the		
	strategic priorities TfEM has		
	established and shared with		
	industry partners across		
	modes.		
	In respect to rail, it is right that	Noted	No change
	the draft LTP recognizes that		
	the TfEM/DfT Collaboration		
	agreement provides a clear		
	mechanism for promoting		
	collective regionwide rail		
	priorities and responding to		
	industry reforms.		
	Continued partnership working	Noted	No change
	and aligned objectives will be		
	key to reversing long term		
	trends of low Government		
	transport investment in the		
	East Midlands.		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i	

North East	Very supportive of our	Noted	No change
Lincolnshire	references to wider	Noteu	NO Change
Council	connectivity including broad		
counten	band and the fact we are not		
	just focussed on physical		
	connectivity. Also supportive		
	of accessing ports, the A1		
	improvements and the wider		
	freight strategy.		
	Would lie a reference to	Noted, this is	No change
	Cleethorpes to London rail	referenced in rail	
	service	strategy	
North	Agree with the principles	Noted	No change
Lincolnshire	identified within the Plan and		
Council	welcome the inclusion of the		
	A15 (between the A46 and		
	Junction 4 of the M180) for		
	future improvements and the		
	recognition of its importance		
	as a strategic economic		
	corridor, particularly with the		
	emergence of the Humber		
	Freeport.		
	Would like to highlight that the	Noted	No change
	A46 Trans-Midlands Trade		
	Corridor Study also includes		
	the A15 north from Riseholme		
	roundabout.		
Thurlby Parish	Acceptance that traditional	The freight strategy	No change
	farming and agricultural	acknowledges both	
	tractors and similar machinery	the importance of	
	will continue with unrestricted	HGV movements to	
	access. We would, however,	the economy but also	
	wish to see restrictions on HGV	recognises that HGVs	
	and other large commercial	can cause intrusion in	
	vehicles in transit, when there	some localities. It	
	are alternative and convenient	proposes supporting	
	main highway routing options.	modal shift from	
		road to rail, focussing	
		highway	
		improvements that	
		encourage HGVs to us the most suitable	
		roads and routes and	
		to improve driving training.	
	Requirement for designated	LTP highlights the	No change
	safe lanes for walking and	need to Both walking	
	cycling and we would wish to	and cycling strategies	
		highlight the need for	
	1		1

	see funding made available for this.	safe and direct routes for active	
	uns.	travel. LTP	
	We are presently investing in equipment to help enforce speed limits. Any support from Plan 5 for additional controls and restrictions would be welcome.	The road safety partnership has its own strategy that has been cross referenced in the LTP. Supporting road safety is a key LTP 5 objective.	Share the response with LincoInshire Road Safety partnership.
PEDALS Spalding Cycling Group	Broadly welcomes all the objectives in the plan promoting active travel and is pleased to note that the plan recognizes the health and environmental benefits of cycling.	Noted	No change
	Supportive of actions identified in the cycling strategy	Noted	No change
	Raise concerns that the Area Transport Boards do not fully represent the locality and feels wider representation is necessary	This is an issue around governance and delivery of the Local Transport Boards rather than a specific LTP issue. However, longer term new LTP guidance is expected and emphasis on local engagement is likely to be key to the production of revisions to future LTPs.	Consideration is given to the creation of Wider Reference Groups for the area Transport Boards to enable active engagement with local interest groups or a review of the roles of the Local Area Transport Boards.
	Page 4 of the Prospectus comments that "in rural areas cars are still essential for many". This is reflected in Objective 1b on page 10. This statement may be applied to many of the villages and isolated dwellings in South Holland's countryside. However, the town of Spalding and its immediate surroundings should not be described in this way. Facilitating more active travel in the town will not only benefit those who want to	The LTP recognises Spalding as a market town and not open countryside and as such it has an area transport strategy that seeks to improve connectivity from its hinterland by active modes.	No change but comment to be passed to Area Transport Board.

	traval activaly but will also		
	travel actively, but will also potentially free up road space and parking space for those who have no alternative to using motor vehicles.		
	A plea for ongoing and more active consultation and local engagement	The LTP consultation has conformed to guidance on the production of such a document.	Consideration is given to the creation of Wider Reference Groups for the area Transport Boards to enable active engagement with local interest groups or a review of the roles of the Local Area Transport Boards.
	Would welcome and support county wide information for and the promotion of cycling	LTP provides significant policy support for the benefits of active travel and both the walking and cycling strategies identify a need to further develop and promote the benefits of active travel including promotional activity.	No change
	In the absence of a Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan for South Holland PEDALS suggests that development should be through consultation with local users.	LCWIPS have been produced for the major towns including Spalding. Further work is being developed for the smaller towns and communities not covered by the Area Transport Boards.	No change
	Would like to see reference made to increasing cycle capacity on trains in the rail strategy	Noted.	To add a reference in rail strategy and include with any conversations with TOCs moving forward.
Deepings Neighbourhood Plan Group	The LTP doesn't sufficiently recognise the role of The Deepings area.	As a high level document the LTP cannot identify and list every community and its role. The focus on identifying	No change.

	I	1 .	,
		the major settlements based on District Local Plan definitions has been used.	
	Lack of reference to Neighbourhood Plans	As referenced above the LTP cannot reference every town and parish neighbourhood plan.	No change
	Feel that references to case studies are undeveloped and suggest a local project be included.	Acknowledge that the LTP is light on case studies	Consider inclusion of Deepings project as a case study within the walking or cycling strategies.
	Feels LTP lacks a detailed and costed programme.	Work is ongoing to further develop a more detailed set of costed interventions and projects.	Ongoing work will develop programme.
North Notts & Lincs Community Rail Partnership	The plan, a great improvement on LTP4, is one we wholeheartedly support.	Noted	No change
	Would welcome involvement with the Gainsborough Area Transport Board	This is an issue around governance and delivery of the Local Transport Boards rather than a specific LTP issue. However, longer term new LTP guidance is expected and emphasis on local engagement is likely to be key to the production of revisions to future LTPs.	Consideration is given to the creation of Wider Reference Groups for the area Transport Boards to enable active engagement with local interest groups or a review of the roles of the Local Area Transport Boards.
	Feel that the A631 is not given sufficient weight as a key east west link.	The A631 has not been identified as a route for RAP work and this is indicated in the LTP	Consideration be given to investigating the A631 route as part of any future phase of RAP identification and inclusion in LTP of evidence supporting routes that are included.

	Inclusion of a second crossing	An issue for the Local	Comments to be
	of the Tent in Gainsborough.	Area Transport Board	passed to Transport Board
Orby Parish Council	Request that the LTP lists a bypass for Orby.	The LTP recognises the need to improve connectivity to the East Coast, however at present there is insufficient evidence to identify a specific scheme at Orby. Work is ongoing to look at options for improving coastal connectivity and a bypass for Orby is included within that work	Pass comments onto project team.
Mr Stevens (resident Deeping St James)	General support for LTP themes and objectives	Noted	No change
	Very supportive of approach to walking and in particular integration with public transport	Noted	No change
	Requirement of cycle facilities to be continuous and removal of severance.	LTP highlights need to reduce severance for all active modes and identifies the need to crate safe networks of routes that are well connected.	No change
	Supportive of approach towards buses. Would like to see better information provision and greater use of technology.	LTP supports expansion of bus investment in line with the BSIP response to government.	No change
	Supportive of a reopened station at Littleworth.	LTP identifies the possible need for new stations in Lincolnshire and commits to working with Network Rail to investigate where suitable sites might be.	No change

Specific references to junction	LTP's role is not to	No change but
improvements in the Deepings.	list all minor highway	response to be
	improvements.	forwarded to
	•	highways.